

EN2BRIa Enhancing Enforcement under Brussels Ia



This Project is funded by the European Union Justice Programme 2014-2020 JUST-JCOO-AG-2018 JUST 831598

(Excessive?) Deference to Legal Tradition in International Civil Procedure: The Actor Sequitur Forum Rei Principle

SLS111th Annual Conference 1-4 September 2020, Univ. of Exeter, Conflict of Laws session

Stefano Dominelli Researcher in International Law, University of Genoa Investigation conducted in the framework of "Enhancing Enforcement under Brussels Ia" – EN2BRIa, Project funded by the European Union Justice Programme 2014-2020, JUST-JCOO-AG-2018 JUST 831598

The content of the Brussels Ia – EN2BRIa, Project, and its deliverables, represent the views of the author only and is his sole responsibility. The European Commission does not accept any responsibility for use that may be made of the information it contains.



Overview

- Certainty and foreseeability
- The principle of actor sequitur forum rei
- Elevation to «formal» principle requires methodological consistency to be justified
- Consequences following the elevation to «formal» principle
- Should we give up on the *actor sequitur forum rei*?
- An "honest" view of the *actor sequitur forum rei* rule as (one possible) model-indicium



Certainty and foreseeability

- Certainty and foreseeability in conflict of laws: *«Europeans tend to criticize all the new theories for granting judges a measure of freedom that goes beyond the limits of appropriate judicial discretion»* (Vitta, 1982, p. 3)
- *Pre-determination of rules* vs. *choice influencing considerations*
- «The rules of jurisdiction should be highly predictable and founded on the principle that jurisdiction is generally based on the defendant's domicile» (Recital 15, Brussels Ia)



The principle of *actor sequitur forum rei*

- *«Formal»* vs. *«material» principles* (Pfeiffer, 1995): which one is the *actor sequitur forum rei*?
- No obligation under current customary public international law on the dominant role of the *actor sequitur forum rei*
 - Forum actoris
 - *Transient jurisdiction*
 - ...
- Current *status* of the principle: general rule additional fora constructed as «exception»
 - Cf AG Geelhoed: «Article 16(4) forms an exception to the general principle of Article 2 of the Brussels Convention ... Thus, the object of Article 2 is to protect the rights of the defendant. In accordance with the settled case-law of the Court, because of the general nature of the principle of Article 2 derogations from it must be given a restrictive interpretation» (Case C-4/0, para 4)



Elevation to *«formal» principle* requires methodological consistency to be justified

- Natural justice and *actor sequitur forum rei*: the prejudice of material considerations in framing pre-emptive jurisdictional rules
- Foreseeability, and domicile in Brussels Ia
 - Domestic notions / uniform concurring notions
 - Multiple domiciles: principles of "submission", closest link, and "enforcement" considerations to justify the *actor sequitur forum rei*
 - Domicile, closest link, and *perpetuatio fori*
 - "Objective" vs. "subjective" foreseeability, and certainty of international civil procedure



... continues

- Domicile of the defendant, proximity and sound administration of justice: the relevant set(s) of additional rules
 - Contracts / torts / ...
 - Connected and related actions
 - Party autonomy
 - Disadvantaged parties in contractual matters
 - Rights in rem
- Is the *forum rei* intrinsically and inherently suited to fulfil its goals (is the elevation of the *rule* to "constitutional general" PIL *principle* methodologically coherent)?



Consequences following the elevation to «formal» principle

- Supra-ordination leads to
 - Requiring qualified (subjective or objective) links between the (non-domicile) court and the case
 - "Necessary" restrictive interpretation of additional rules on jurisdiction (which may be subject to restrictive interpretation on other grounds)
- Acquisition of non renounceable *status* for the purposes of art. 71 Brussels Ia?
- "Spill-over" effect of narrow interpretation to other EU acts under art. 67 Brussels Ia?
 - Directive 96/71/EC
 - Regulation 6/2002
 - Regulation (EU) 2016/679



Should we give up on the *actor sequitur forum rei*?

- Not necessarily– adherence though should be grounded on wide acceptance of the rule, rather than on a pure "traditions reserves respect" reasonings (SCHRÖDER, 1971, 232)
- "Downgrade" from "formal" to "material" principle and ordinary operative rule as an hermeneutic approach



An "honest" view of the *actor sequitur forum rei* rule as (one possible) model-indicium

- "Choice influencing considerations" as reactions to formalistic and mechanical approaches
- Possible critiques to lack of rules in terms of "certainty" and "foreseeability"
- Uncertainties (and *actor sequitur*) in Brussels Ia: Is the EU "model" both "certain" and "flexible"?
 - Cf "reversed" *perpetuatio fori* approaches
 - Cf defamation: Shevill C-68/93 and eDate C-509/09 and C-161/10
- Does it have any methodological relevance?



Thank you for your attention!

stefano.dominelli@unige.it